UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,949	12/22/2006	P.T.G Sillekens	9310-151	1079
	7590 12/30/200 L SIBLEY & SAJOVE	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 37428	}	TUNG, JOYCE		
RALEIGH, NC 27627			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/559,949	SILLEKENS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joyce Tung	1637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 December</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accention and policion to the objection to the objection may not request that any objection to the objection is objected.	election requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the E				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/13/07&2/14/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

The preliminary amendment filed 12/09/05 has been entered. Claims 1-21 are pending.

The Office action mailed 9/29/09 is **VACATED** in light of the new Office action which follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1-4, 11-14, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laue et al. (7374883, issued May 20, 2008) in view of Lowe et al. (Nucleic acid research, 1990 Vol. 18(7)).

Laue et al. disclose a method for detecting Severe Acute Respiratory Syndromeassociated virus (SARS). A real time RT-PCR reaction is performed in which a forward primer Art Unit: 1637

binds to a region defined by nucleotides 69-98 of SEQ ID NO: 1 and a reverse primer binds to a region defined by nucleotides 123-168 of SEQ ID NO: 1 and a probe labeled with a fluorescent dye binds to a region defined by nucleotides 89-132 of SEQ ID NO: 1 for the detection (see column 2, lines 4-24). As indicated in the search report, the nucleotides 164 to 297 of SEQ ID NO: 1 comprise instant SEQ ID NO: 1 and the nucleotides 44 to 122 of SEQ ID NO: 1 comprise instant SEQ ID NO: 2 (see the search report). A PCR-derived construct comprises a promoter sequence for T7 RNA polymerase (see column 8, lines 2-7). The primers used in the method are 18-31 nucleotides in length (see column 2, lines 10-14).

Lowe et al. disclose a computer program for selecting oligonucleotide primers for PCR from a known sequence (pg. 1758, column 1) and the primer is specific and effective (see pg. 1757, the Abstract).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to construct a pair of oligonucleotides within instant SEQ ID NOs: 1 and 2 for amplifying a target sequence of the genome of SARS Coronavirus with a reasonable expectation of success because Laue et al. disclose a method of detecting SARS with a pair of primers and a known sequence, and Lowe et al. disclose a computer program for selecting oligonucleotide primers for PCR from a known sequence (pg. 1758, column 1) and the primer is specific and effective (see pg. 1757, the Abstract). It would have been <u>prima facie</u> obvious to construct a pair of oligonucleotides from within the instant SEQ ID NOs: 1 and 2 for amplifying a target sequence of the genome of SARS Coronavirus as claimed.

3. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the attached search report citing An et al. in view of Lowe et al. (Nucleic acid research, 1990 Vol. 18(7)) and Laue et al. (7,374,883, issued May 20, 2008).

The teachings of Laue et al. and Lowe et al. are set forth in section 2 above.

As indicated by the search report, An et al. disclose a nucleic acid sequence from SARS virus which comprises instant SEQ ID NOs: 14 and 17 (see the attached search reports)

One of ordinary skill in the would have been motivated to construct a pair of oligonucleotides within instant SEQ ID NOs: 14 and 17 for amplifying a target sequence encoding the nucleocapsid protein of the genome of SARS Coronavirus with a reasonable expectation of success because An et al. disclose a known nucleic acid sequence, Laue et al. disclose a method of detecting SARS with a pair of primers and Lowe et al. disclose a computer program for selecting oligonucleotide primers for PCR from a known sequence (pg. 1758, column 1) and the primer is specific and effective (see pg. 1757, the Abstract). It would have been <u>prima facie</u> obvious to construct a pair of oligonucleotides within SEQ ID NOs 14 and 17 for amplifying a target sequence encoding the nucleocapsid protein of the genome of SARS Coronavirus as claimed.

4. Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Briese et al. (20040265796, issued Dec. 30, 2004) in view of Lowe et al. (Nucleic acid research, 1990 Vol. 18(7)).

Briese et al. disclose a PCR and real time PCR assay for detecting the SARS-associated coronavirus. The assay allows for rapid molecular detection and has improved sensitivity and

Art Unit: 1637

specificity (see [0008]). A kit for the detection is also provided. The kit comprises a primer set comprising at least two nucleic acid sequences (see [0014]). As indicated in the search report, SEQ ID NO: 1 comprises instant SEQ ID NOs: 23, 26 and 34(see pg. 10 and the search report). As indicated in the search report, the nucleic acid in fig.1 comprises instant SEQ ID NO: 31 (see the search report). SEQ ID NO: 1 includes the 3' non-coding region of the SARS-associated coronavirus genome and a portion of the N gene of the SARS-associated coronavirus genome (see pg. 2, [0019]).

The teachings of Lowe et al. are set forth in section 2 above.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to construct a pair of oligonucleotides within instant SEQ ID NOs: 23, 26, 31 and 34 for amplifying a target sequence located within the gene encoding the nucleocapsid protein of the genome of SARS Coronavirus with a reasonable expectation of success because Briese et al. disclose an assay of detecting SARS with a pair of primers from a known sequence, the assay allows for rapid molecular detection and has improved sensitivity and specificity (see [0008]) and Lowe et al. disclose a computer program for selecting oligonucleotide primers for PCR from a known sequence (pg. 1758, column 1) and the primer is specific and effective (see pg. 1757, the Abstract). It would have been prima facie obvious to construct a pair of oligonucleotides within SEQ ID NO: 23, 26, 31 and 34 for amplifying a target sequence located within the gene encoding the nucleocapsid protein of the genome of SARS Coronavirus as claimed.

5. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laue et al. (7374883, issued May 20, 2008) in view of Lowe et al. (Nucleic acid research, 1990 Vol. 18(7)) as applied to claims 1-4, 11-14, and 16-18 above, and further in view of Tyagi et al. (Nature Biotechnology, 1996 Vol. 14, pg. 303-308).

The teachings of Laue et al. and Lowe et al. are set forth in section 2 above. Laue et al. and Lowe et al. do not disclose the limitations of claim 15.

Tyagi et al. disclose molecular beacon probes that recognize and report the presence of specific nucleic acids in homogeneous solutions (see pg. 303, the Abstract).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to apply a molecular beacon probe for detection as taught by Tyagi et al. because the probe is sensitive and can be used in a sealed tube (see pg. 303, the Abstract). It would have been <u>prima facie</u> obvious to apply a molecular beacon probe for detection.

6. Claims 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laue et al. (7374883, issued may 20, 2008) in view of Lowe et al. (Nucleic acid research, 1990 Vol. 18(7)) as applied to claims 1-4, 11-14, and 16-18 above, and further in view of Compton et al. (Nature, 1991, Vol. 350(7), pg. 912-992).

The teachings of Laue et al. and Lowe et al. are set forth in section 2 above. Laue et al. and Lowe et al. do not disclose the limitations of claims 19-21.

Compton discloses a standard NASBA reaction which comprises a first primer with a promoter sequence at 5' end for recognizing T7 RNA polymerase and reagents for the reaction (see pg. 91, column 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/559,949 Page 7

Art Unit: 1637

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to apply a NASBA reaction for detection SARS nucleic acid in a sample with a reasonable expectations of success because the NASBA process requires fewer cycles than PCR to produce a desired amplification (see pg. 91, column 3). In addition including reagents in a kit for a NASBA reaction would have been a routine practice for conveniently performing a reaction. It would have been prima facie obvious to carry out a NASBA reaction and to make a kit including a NASBA reagent for detecting SARS nucleic acid in a sample.

Summary

- 7. No claims are allowed.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joyce Tung whose telephone number is (571) 272-0790. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571 272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/559,949 Page 8

Art Unit: 1637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kenneth R Horlick/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1637

/Joyce Tung/ Examiner, Art Unit 1637 November 3, 2009